

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D. C. 20231

				www.uspta.gov		
[APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/361,963	07/28/1999	YASUE SATO	35.C10695DIV	6193	

YASUE SATO

6193

PAPER NUMBER

5514

7590

11/18/2002

FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA

NEW YORK, NY 10112

EXAMINER

GUHARAY, KARABI

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1.				
	Office Action Comme	09/361,963	SATO ET AL.	W				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Karabi Guharay	2879					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence add	ress				
- Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. naions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period from the provision of the six than think (30) days, a reg- period for reply specified between the six than think (30) days, a reg- period for reply explicit days the six than the six than the six of the six than the six of the s	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day	nely filed S will be considered timely	munication.				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Am	lendment D filed on Sentember 4	2 2000					
2a)⊠		nis action is non-final.	<u>0, 2002</u> .					
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for formal metters	osecution as to the 53 O.G. 213.	merits is				
4)🖾	Claim(s) 9-17 is/are pending in the application	٦.						
	(4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>9-17</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement						
Application	on Papers	r ciccaon requirement.						
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)∏ accep	oted or b) Objected to by the Exam	niner					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abevance. Se	8 37 CFR 1 85(a)					
11) 🗌 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapprov	ed by the Examiner					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	bly to this Office action.	y mo Examinor.					
12)[] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
riority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)[<i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a).	(d) or (f)					
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:		(=) 5. (.).					
1	. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
2	Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	a No					
3 * Se	 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	ity documents have been received eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received	in this National Sta					
14)∐ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	owledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) [The translation of the foreign language provious knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 	isional application has been recei		phoduoir).				
│	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary (f 5) Notice of Informal Pai 6) Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-15	2)				
0-326 (Rev. i		on Summary	Part of Dans					

Application/Control Number: 09/361,963

Art Unit: 2879

Amendment D, filed on September 10, 2002, has been entered.

Claims 16, and 17 are added

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 9-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spindt et al. (US 5742117), in view of Cathey (US 5734226).

Regarding claim 9, Spindt et al. disclose an image forming apparatus comprising a first plate (14 of Fig 2) including electron emitting device (emitter 30), a second plate (faceplate 12) being disposed to face the first plate (11), a plurality of spacers (20) between the first plate and the second plate (Fig 2), the spacers being disposed

Application/Control Number: 09/361,963

Art Unit: 2879

substantially in parallel with each other and extending in a longitudinal direction (see Fig 1), an outer frame ((16 of Fig 1) hermetically surrounding a space between first plate and second plate (lines 49-66 of column 4).

But Spindt et al. fail to disclose a getter being disposed first plate and second plate within the space and being proximate to a side of the outer frame (16), which is positioned across an imaginary extension of the spacers in the longitudinal direction.

However, Cathey discloses an image forming apparatus having a getter (conductive metallic wire 21 of Fig 1) disposed between first plate and second plate and proximate to a side of the outer frame (see Fig 1) which is across an imaginary extension of spacer in order to remove residual gases from the vacuum display (see lines 59 of column 2- line 3 of column 3).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a getter, as disclosed by Cathey, in the device of Spindt et al., since this will provide effective performance of the display by removing unwanted, residual gases from the vacuum chamber.

Regarding claim 10, Cathey discloses that the getter (conductive wire 21) is disposed outside a region in which the electron emitting devices are formed (see Fig 1).

Regarding claim 11, Cathey discloses the getter being disposed at the outer frame consequently, one part of the getter is positioned within a region surrounded by the imaginary extensions of two of the spacers in the longitudinal direction the two spacers being in outermost sides (since getter is arranged in the periphery of the envelope).

Application/Control Number: 09/361,963 Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 12, Though Cathey does not teach a ring shaped getter, it is noted that applicant's specific shape of the getter does not solve any of the stated problems or yield any unexpected result that is not within the scope of the teachings applied. Therefore it is considered to be a matter of choice, which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious to select a getter having a ring shape.

Regarding claim 13, Spindt discloses that the region in which the electronemitting devices are formed is an approximate rectangle (see Fig 1) and spacers are disclosed from one side to the other.

Regarding claim 14, Spindt discloses that the electron emitting devices are field emission type devices are field emission devices (see Fig 2).

Regarding claim 15, Spindt does not exemplify that the electron emitting devices are surface conduction electron emitting device. However, it is well known in the art, that there are three types of cold cathode type electron emission devices, (1) field emission type, (2) MIM type (3) surface conduction type.

It is noted that applicant's specific type of electron emitting device (in this case surface conduction type) does not solve any of the stated problems or yield any unexpected result that is not within the scope of the teachings applied. Therefore it is considered to be a matter of choice, which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious to select one of the above three types of electron emitting devices (see # 6160347).

Regarding claim 16, Cathey does not explicitly show that the getter is disposed on the periphery of at least one corner of the outer frame; however, Cathey teaches that

Application/Control Number: 09/361,963

Art Unit: 2879

the getter can be disposed anywhere along the side of the display (lines 36-41 of column 3). Thus it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the getter on the periphery of one corner of the outer frame following the teachings of Cathey.

Regarding claim 17, Cathey disclosed that the getter is disposed on the first plate (substrate 11, since it is positioned above the first plate).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on September 10, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for following reasons:

Applicant argued that getter in Cathey is positioned parallel to an imaginary extension of spacers in the longitudinal directions not across an imaginary extension of spacers along the longitudinal direction. However, examiner respectfully disagrees. Spacers are three dimensional rectangular slab having length width and height. Spacers are extending all three directions. Applicant claimed a longitudinal direction, in this case longitudinal direction is either along the height extending from first plate to second plate, or extending along the width of the spacer, thus getter is indeed positioned across an imaginary extension of the spacer in the longitudinal direction thereof.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/361,963 Art Unit: 2879

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karabi Guharay whose telephone number is (703) 305-1971. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (703) 305-4794. The fax phone number for the organization is (703) 308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956

ん。G Karabi Guharay Patent Examiner Art Unit 2879

Nimeshkumar D. Patel Primary Examiner